

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS FO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.tepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/667,330	09/23/2003	John Hane	57132.000007	1649	
21967 HUNTON & V	7590 02/27/200 VILLIAMS LLP	9	EXAM	IINER	
INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY DEPARTMENT			HUNNINGS	HUNNINGS, TRAVIS R	
1900 K STREE SUITE 1200	ET, N.W.		ART UNIT	ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
WASHINGTO	N, DC 20006-1109		2612		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			02/27/2009	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Interview Summary	10/667,330	HANE, JOHN					
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	Examiner	Art Unit					
	TRAVIS R. HUNNINGS	2612					
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO	personnel):						
(1) TRAVIS R. HUNNINGS.	(3)						
(2) <u>Dalei Dong</u> . (4)							
Date of Interview: <u>25 February 2009</u> .							
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant 2)□ applicant's representative]							
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No. If Yes, brief description:							
Claim(s) discussed: 1.							
Identification of prior art discussed: Lemons, Shere, Sweatt.							
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.							
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: the overall structure of the invention was discussed, specifically the novelty of the claim as a whole instead of simply a collection of pieces, examiner agreed to look more closely at the combination of the references in terms of the communication structure that each one disclosed/taucht.							
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)							
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRITY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.							
/TRAVIS R HUNNINGS/ AU2612							